UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/658,515 | 09/09/2003 | Mark A. Reiley | 10002-701.404 | 1785 |
| 66854 SHAY GLENN | 7590 11/06/200 I LLP | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| 2755 CAMPUS | | PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D | | |
| SUITE 210 SAN MATEO, CA 94403 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3738 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/06/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------------------|
| N. 42 CAL | 10/658,515 | REILEY, MARK | Α. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | CHRISTOPHER D. PRONE | 3738 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | ldress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | • | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6 | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Tr | ansmission dated |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on | | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the assi | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for see | eking court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | |
| /Corrine M McDermott/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738 | /Christopher D Prone/ Examiner, Art Unit 3738 | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be | promptly filed to |